

Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks -- No. 958776

Category	M-NCPPC	Date Last Modified	October 31, 2007
Subcategory	Development	Required Adequate Public Facility	No
Administering Agency	M-NCPPC	Relocation Impact	None
Planning Area	Countywide	Status	On-going

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000)

Cost Element	Total	Thru FY07	Est. FY08	Total 6 Years	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design, and Supervision	2,545	0	745	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site Improvements and Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2,545	0	745	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	*

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000)

Current Revenue: General	2,545	0	745	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	0
Total	2,545	0	745	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	0

DESCRIPTION

This project funds preparation of facility plans and related plans/studies/analyses, e.g. environmental, feasibility, engineering, and utilities analyses. Facility plans produce well-reasoned project cost estimates based on preliminary design, i.e. one-third of final design and construction documents. Preliminary design includes topographic surveys, environmental assessments, traffic studies, site plans, schematic drawings, floor plans, elevations, quantity calculations, and cost estimates, as well as public participation. Facility planning is needed when the variables or options involved in the project do not support reliable independent cost estimating. This project also supports upfront planning activities associated with capital investments that may result from public-private partnerships.

Candidate projects include, but are not limited to: Rock Creek Maintenance Facility, Woodstock Equestrian Park facilities, Northwest Branch Recreational Park, Riley Farm (Uncle Tom's Cabin), Agricultural History Farm Park maintenance facility, Muddy Branch SVP trail, the Brainard Warner House, Waters House parking and trail connector, Seneca Store and Darby House feasibility studies, Little Bennett RP projects, and a nature center, as well as planning activities associated with review and participation in public-private partnership initiatives, and development of park design guidelines. Facility planning also occurs in or related to several other non-local park PDFs.

COST CHANGE

Increase due to the addition of FY13 and FY14 to this on-going project.

JUSTIFICATION

2005 Land Preservation, Park and Recreation Plan, Countywide Park Trails Plan, approved by the Planning Board in July 1998; Rock Creek Regional Park Master/Management Plan, approved by the Planning Board in June 1999.

OTHER

Candidate projects include, but are not limited to: Rock Creek Maintenance Facility, Woodstock Equestrian Park, Northwest Branch Recreational Park, Agricultural History Farm Park, Muddy Branch SVP trail, and a nature center, as well as planning activities associated with review and participation in public-private partnership initiatives, and development of park design guidelines. Facility planning also occurs in or related to several other non-local park PDFs.

FISCAL NOTE

There is a continuing need for the development of accurate cost estimates and an exploration of alternatives for proposed facility projects. Facility planning costs for non-local park projects which may become stand-alone PDFs or be funded in other ongoing PDFs are included here, except as noted below. Future projects which result from facility planning programmed in this PDF will reflect reduced planning and design costs.

In recent years, the Commission has entered into or considered many public-private partnerships. These partnerships contribute to the excellence and diversity of park facilities serving our constituents, but public-private partnerships require an upfront investment by the Commission that is not readily discernible. Legal, procurement, and general administrative costs are appropriately absorbed by the operating budget, but architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, survey, acquisition, and similar costs associated with upfront planning related to evaluating, responding to, and participating in these public-private partnerships should be programmed in the CIP. The staff salaries associated with these activities are programmed

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA	COORDINATION	MAP																																										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Date First Appropriation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY95</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>First Cost Estimate</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY97</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current Scope</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Last FY's Cost Estimate</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">2,132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appropriation Request</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY09</td> <td style="text-align: right;">300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appropriation Request Est.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY10</td> <td style="text-align: right;">300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Supplemental Appropriation Request</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transfer</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cumulative Appropriation</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">745</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expenditures / Encumbrances</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">114</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unencumbered Balance</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">631</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Partial Closeout Thru</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY06</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2,862</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New Partial Closeout</td> <td style="text-align: right;">FY07</td> <td style="text-align: right;">187</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Partial Closeout</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">3,049</td> </tr> </table>	Date First Appropriation	FY95	(\$000)	First Cost Estimate	FY97	0	Current Scope			Last FY's Cost Estimate		2,132	Appropriation Request	FY09	300	Appropriation Request Est.	FY10	300	Supplemental Appropriation Request		0	Transfer		0	Cumulative Appropriation		745	Expenditures / Encumbrances		114	Unencumbered Balance		631	Partial Closeout Thru	FY06	2,862	New Partial Closeout	FY07	187	Total Partial Closeout		3,049	<p>COORDINATION</p> <p>Facility Planning: Local PDF 957775 SilverPlace/MRO Headquarters Mixed Use Project PDF 048701 Cost Sharing: Non-Local PDF 761682 Restoration of Historic Structures</p>	<p>MAP</p>
Date First Appropriation	FY95	(\$000)																																										
First Cost Estimate	FY97	0																																										
Current Scope																																												
Last FY's Cost Estimate		2,132																																										
Appropriation Request	FY09	300																																										
Appropriation Request Est.	FY10	300																																										
Supplemental Appropriation Request		0																																										
Transfer		0																																										
Cumulative Appropriation		745																																										
Expenditures / Encumbrances		114																																										
Unencumbered Balance		631																																										
Partial Closeout Thru	FY06	2,862																																										
New Partial Closeout	FY07	187																																										
Total Partial Closeout		3,049																																										

Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks -- No. 958776 (continued)

principally in the CIP rather than the operating budget and funds for upfront surveys, feasibility studies, or contract planning work are typically not available in the operating budget.

OTHER DISCLOSURES

- A pedestrian impact analysis will be performed during design or is in progress.
- * Expenditures will continue indefinitely.